IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 617 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

PATEL RAMANLAL MOHANLAL

Versus

MODI VINODCHANDRA M

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 617 of 1992

MR MUKESH A PATEL for Petitioner No. 1

..... for Petitioner No. 2

MR MK VAKHARIA for Petitioner No. 2/1-3

MR GIRISH D BHATT for Respondent No. 1

..... for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 02/04/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned advocate for the petitioner states that this revision has become infructuous, as the petitioner has purchased the suit property from the respondent-landlord. Learned advocate for the petitioner has produced a copy of the letter received from his client informing about this fact, the same is kept on record.

2) In that view of the matter, this Civil Revision Application is disposed of, as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Interim relief, if any, stands vacated.

(P.B.Majmudar,J.)
/malek